UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspio.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/659,681	09/11/2003	Shoji Yuyama	2003_1295	4506
WENDEROTH, LIND & PONACK, L.L.P. 1030 15th Street, N.W., Suite 400 East Washington, DC 20005-1503			EXAMINER	
			RIVERA, WILLIAM ARAUZ	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
,			3654	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			10/05/2011	ELECTRONIC

# Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

ddalecki@wenderoth.com eoa@wenderoth.com

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary	10/659,681	YUYAMA ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	WILLIAM A. RIVERA	3654			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION  16(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim  ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONEI	J. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 23 Ju	ne 2011				
	action is non-final.				
	An election was made by the applicant in response to a restriction requirement set forth during the interview on				
the restriction requirement and election;	•	=			
4) Since this application is in condition for allowan	·				
closed in accordance with the practice under E					
Disposition of Claims	, ,				
5)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-9</u> is/are pending in the application.					
5a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
6) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
7) Claim(s) 1-9 is/are rejected.					
8) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
9) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.					
Application Papers					
	,				
10) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  11) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcti					
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	annion reto the attached office	7.00.017 01 101111 1 1 0 102.			
,	priority under SELLS C. & 110(a)	(d) or (f)			
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:	priority under 35 0.5.6. § 119(a)	-(d) or (i).			
1.☐ Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received				
·		on No			
<ul> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage</li> </ul>					
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.					
	,				
Attachment(s)					
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)     Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4)				
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	5) Notice of Informal P				
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) 🔲 Other:				

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 03-11)

### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Reissue Applications

Claims 1-3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 251 as being an improper recapture of broadened claimed subject matter surrendered in the application for the patent upon which the present reissue is based. See *Pannu v. Storz Instruments Inc.*, 258 F.3d 1366, 59 USPQ2d 1597 (Fed. Cir. 2001); *Hester Industries, Inc.* v. *Stein, Inc.*, 142 F.3d 1472, 46 USPQ2d 1641 (Fed. Cir. 1998); *In re Clement*, 131 F.3d 1464, 45 USPQ2d 1161 (Fed. Cir. 1997); *Ball Corp.* v. *United States*, 729 F.2d 1429, 1436, 221 USPQ 289, 295 (Fed. Cir. 1984). A broadening aspect is present in the reissue which was not present in the application for patent. The record of the application for the patent shows that the broadening aspect (in the reissue) relates to claim subject matter that applicant previously surrendered during the prosecution of the application. Accordingly, the narrow scope of the claims in the patent was not an error within the meaning of 35 U.S.C. 251, and the broader scope of claim subject matter surrendered in the application for the patent cannot be recaptured by the filing of the present reissue application.

Claims 1-3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 251 as being improperly broadened in a reissue application made and sworn to by the assignee and not the patentee. A claim is broader in scope than the original claims if it contains within its scope any conceivable product or process which would have infringed the original patent. A claim is broadened if it is broader in any one respect even though it may be narrower in other respects.

In the instant case, the applicant amended claim 1 of the original patent to recite "a cylindrical second rotary shaft having a cylindrical outer periphery and a cylindrical inner periphery, sheet material wound on said cylindrical outer periphery of said second rotary shaft".

In the remarks filed by the applicant on May 8, 2001, the applicant presented arguments as to the patentability of the claim by stating that the Satoh reference could not anticipate the claim because these limitations were not present in the Satoh reference. Thus, these limitations must be retained in any reissue claims.

The reissue oath/declaration filed with this application is defective because the error which is relied upon to support the reissue application is not an error upon which a reissue can be based. See 37 CFR 1.175(a)(1) and MPEP § 1414.

In the instant case, the deletion of the subject matter, as advanced above, is the identified error in the current declaration. Since the deletion of this subject matter is improper, a new different error will need to be identified and properly described in a supplemental declaration.

A supplemental reissue oath/declaration will need to be filed with this application stating that all errors which are being corrected in the reissue application up to the time of filing of the oath/declaration arose without any deceptive intention on the part of the applicant. See 37 CFR 1.175 and MPEP § 1414.

### Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed June 23, 2011 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

With respect to applicant's remarks on page 8 regarding the 35 U.S.C. 251, it is the applicant's position that the subject matter in question is still present in the first paragraph of Claim 1. However, this is not the case. In the remarks filed on May 8<sup>th</sup>, 2001, page 6, lines 9-11 and lines 15-16, applicants argued that the following limitations: at least one magnet provided on a cylindrical inner periphery of said second rotary shaft and sheet material wound on said

cylindrical outer periphery of said second rotary shaft were not present in the Satoh reference. Thus, in the first instance, the at least one magnet is no longer required to be on the cylindrical inner periphery of the second rotary shaft. In the second instance, the sheet material is no longer required to be wound on the cylindrical outer periphery of said second rotary shaft.

### Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to WILLIAM A. RIVERA whose telephone number is (571)272-6953. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Friday - 10:00 AM to 6:30 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael R. Mansen can be reached on 571-272-6608. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/659,681 Page 5

Art Unit: 3654

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/William A Rivera/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3654

September 29, 2011